

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,946 09/16/2003		Harold J. Brax	BRAX-101	3860	
42419 75	2419 7590 02/24/2005		EXAMINER		
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON			HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	M			
Office Action Summary		10/663,94	6	BRAX, HAROLD J.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		James S H	logan	3752				
	AILING DATE of this communica		_ <u>`</u>	correspondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply		D DEDLY IO OFT T	O EVEIDE A MONTH	(O) FDOM				
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for In the period f	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR BOATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE may be available under the provisions of STHS from the mailing date of this communication specified above is less than thirty (30) creply is specified above, the maximum statut within the set or extended period for reply will be do by the Office later than three months after madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ilication. days, a reply within the statu tory period will apply and will ll, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply be til story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered tim the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 September 2</u>	<u>003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Since th	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed	n accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Qu</i> a	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of C	laims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the app	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-16 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s	☑ Claim(s) <u>2,4 and 17</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Pap	ers							
9)∐ The spe	cification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The dra	wing(s) filed on <u>16 September 2</u>	<u>2003</u> is/are: a) <u></u> a∈	ccepted or b)⊠ objed	cted to by the Exa	aminer.			
Applicar	it may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replace	ment drawing sheet(s) including th	ne correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ The oatl	n or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)∐ All ⊟	o)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. 🗌 C	ertified copies of the priority do	ocuments have beer	n received.					
2.□ 0	ertified copies of the priority do	ocuments have beer	n received in Applicat	ion No				
	copies of the certified copies of			ed in this Nationa	al Stage			
	pplication from the Internationa							
* See the a	attached detailed Office action f	for a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	O't-1 (DTO 000)		0 □	(DTO 446)				
	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) M Information Dis	dosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT ail Date <u>09/16/2003</u> .		5) Notice of Informal 6 6) Other:		TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/663,946 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because of the use of wire-frame AutoCAD-style representations of claimed material. The details of nozzle areas on Figures 3 and 4 are obscured. The Office recommends hatched cross-sections or exploded isometric views of assemblies in order to provide the Examiner with a clear and concise view of claimed material. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 3752

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,3,7, and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,906 to Vadnais in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,953,248 to Troland.

Regarding claims 1,3,7, and 9 Vadnais ('906) teaches an adjustable dispenser tip having a nozzle10 forming a passage, a mating end, a dispensing end, and a plurality of independently movable fingers (46) defining an orifice at the dispensing end. A coupler (12) is positioned with respect to the nozzle and movable, capable of urging the fingers to adjust an area of the orifice. As per claim 7, the dispensing end portion is tapered. As per claim 9, the coupler is slidably movable along the nozzle. As per the latter part of claim 1, and claim 3, Vadnais ('906) does not teach an elastomeric web connecting the fingers. Troland ('248) teaches (Fig. 4, an Col. 3, lines 40-47) an elastomeric web, referred but Troland to as an "expand outlet member" (32) positioned on the inside of leaf-spring reinforcements (38) that portray the fingers of the invention. Based on this configuration, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the slotted-fingers dispensing nozzle of Vadnais with the sleeve of Troland, acting as a web, to have a nozzle that can conform to different orifice sizes, but have no possibility of material seepage through the slotted fingers.

Claims 1,6-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,906 to Vadnais in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,953,248 to Troland, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 690,754 to McKechney.

The rejection for claim 1 above stands as the basis for the following. Regarding claims 5 and 6, neither Troland ('248) nor Vadnais ('906) teaches a threaded base portion or an internally threaded coupler. The device of McKechney ('754) teaches a threaded base and internally threaded coupler for use on a nozzle. As per claim 7, the coupler of Vadnais ('906) comprises a tapered tip. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the nozzle base and coupler of Vadnais ('906), as modified by Troland ('248), with the threaded fitting shown by McKechney ('754) that actuates in a form so that material seepage is minimized at the attachment end of the nozzle.

Claims 1 and 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,906 to Vadnais in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,953,248 to Troland, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,299,034 to Trancheff.

The rejection for claim 1 above stands as the basis for the following. Regarding claim 10, neither Troland ('248) nor Vadnais ('906) teaches a collar arrangement at a dispensing end that allows for adjustment of the orifice area. The device of Trancheff ('034) shows a dispenser outlet that features a tapered collar (27) that allows for the adjustment of the orifice (23). By employing the functionality of the coupler of Vadnais ('906), where the collar can be positioned with respect to the nozzle and movable, the nozzle id capable of urging the fingers to adjust an area of the orifice. It would have been obvious to one skill at the time the invention was made to have provided an orifice with an adjustable area to provide the user with the choice of what size bead of flowable medium he or she would desire to apply onto a substrate.

Page 5

Claims 11-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,906 to Vadnais in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,953,248 to Troland, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,299,034 to Trancheff and even further in view of U.S. Patent No. 690,754 to McKechney.

The basis of rejection of claims 11-14 is contained within the rejection combinations above. As for claim 15, Vadnais ('906) teaches a nozzle made of plastic (Col. 1, line 16-18). As for claim 16, Troland ('248) teaches an elastomeric web (32) constructed of an elastic "rubber-like" material (Col. 2, lines 45-51). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed a nozzle having a plurality of fingers at its tapered end, a collar made adjustable by sliding or by threaded means, and an elastomeric web connecting the nozzle fingers in order to create a nozzle has an adjustable orifice, and means to prevent seepage

Claims 18-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,906 to Vadnais in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,953,248 to Troland, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,299,034 to Trancheff and even further in view of U.S. Patent No. 690,754 to McKechney.

The basis of rejection of claims 18-19 is contained within the rejection combinations above. As for claim 20, Vadnais ('906) teaches the use of the nozzle as being connected to a caulk tube (Col. 1, line 14).). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed a nozzle having a plurality of fingers at its tapered end, a collar made adjustable by sliding or by threaded means, and an elastomeric web connecting the nozzle fingers in order to

Art Unit: 3752

create a nozzle for caulking that has an adjustable orifice, and means to prevent seepage.

Claims 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,906 to Vadnais in view of U.S. Patent No. 2,953,248 to Troland, and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,299,034 to Trancheff and even further in view of U.S. Patent No. 690,754 to McKechney.

The basis of rejection of claim 21 is contained within the rejection combinations above. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed a nozzle having a plurality of fingers at its tapered end, a collar made adjustable by sliding or by threaded means, and an elastomeric web connecting the nozzle fingers in order to create a nozzle for caulking that has an adjustable orifice, and means to prevent seepage.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2, 4 and 17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is as follows:
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,743,668 to Zimmerman, disclosing an adjustable caulking tip
 - U.S. Patent No. 2,901,152 to Wahnsiedler, disclosing a closure for a dispenser
 - U.S. Patent No. 4,204,328 to Kutner, disclosing a variable diameter-aspirating tip

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S Hogan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:00a-4:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JSH 02/14/2005

Croup 3700